

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION

ALEXANDER CORDERO,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	CIVIL ACTION
v.)	
)	FILE No. _____
GEORGE W. HERRINGTON,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW, ALEXANDER CORDERO, by and through the undersigned counsel, and files this, his Complaint against Defendant GEORGE W. HERRINGTON pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.* (“ADA”) and the ADA’s Accessibility Guidelines, 28 C.F.R. Part 36 (“ADAAG”). In support thereof, Plaintiff respectfully shows this Court as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has original jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343 for Plaintiff’s claims pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.*, based upon Defendant’s failure to remove physical barriers to access and violations of Title III of the ADA.

2. Venue is proper in the federal District Court for the Northern District

of Georgia, Atlanta Division, as the parcel of real property and Public Accommodation at issue in this case, and concerning which Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief, is located in Gwinnett County, Georgia.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff ALEXANDER CORDERO (hereinafter “Plaintiff”) is, and has been at all times relevant to the instant matter, a natural person residing in Lawrenceville, Georgia (Gwinnett County).

4. Plaintiff is a paraplegic and is disabled as defined by the ADA.

5. Plaintiff is required to traverse in a wheelchair and is substantially limited in performing one or more major life activities, including but not limited to: walking and standing.

6. Plaintiff cannot walk and uses a wheelchair for mobility purposes.

7. Defendant GEORGE W. HERRINGTON (hereinafter “Defendant”) is an individual whom, upon information and good faith belief, has been a resident of the State of Florida at all times relevant hereto.

8. Defendant may be properly served with process at 131 Gulf Bridge Lane, Inlet Beach, Florida 32461-8541.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

9. On or about June 27, 2023, Plaintiff was a customer at “Exxon Food

Mart,” a business located at 2094 Lawrenceville Suwanee Road, Suwanee, Georgia 30024.

10. Defendant is the owner (or co-owner) of the real property and improvements that are the subject of this action. (The contiguous, multi-tenant structure and improvements situated upon said real property shall be referenced herein as the “Facility,” and said real property shall be referenced herein as the “Property”).

11. Plaintiff lives approximately four (4) miles from the Facility and Property.

12. Plaintiff’s access to the businesses located at 2094 Lawrenceville Suwanee Road, Suwanee, Georgia 30024, (identified by Gwinnett County Property Appraiser’s parcel number R7085 286), and/or full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, foods, drinks, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein were denied and/or limited because of his disabilities, and he will be denied and/or limited in the future unless and until Defendant is compelled to remove the physical barriers to access and correct the ADA violations that exist at the Facility and Property, including those set forth in this Complaint.

13. Plaintiff has visited the Facility and Property at least once before and

intends on revisiting the Facility once the Facility and Property are brought into compliance with the 2010 ADAAG standards.

14. Plaintiff intends to revisit the Facility and Property to purchase goods and/or services.

15. Plaintiff travelled to the Facility and Property as a customer and as an advocate for the disabled, encountered the barriers to his access of the Facility and Property that are detailed in this Complaint, engaged those barriers, suffered legal harm and legal injury, and will continue to suffer such harm and injury as a result of the illegal barriers to access present at the Facility and Property.

COUNT I
VIOLATIONS OF THE ADA AND ADAAG

16. On July 26, 1990, Congress enacted the Americans with Disabilities Act 42 U.S.C. § 12101 *et seq.* (the “ADA”).

17. The ADA provided places of public accommodation one and a half years from its enactment to implement its requirements.

18. The effective date of Title III of the ADA was January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993 (if a defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). 42 U.S.C. § 12181; 28 C.F.R. § 36.508(a).

19. The Facility is a public accommodation and service establishment.

20. The Property is a public accommodation and service establishment.

21. Pursuant to the mandates of 42 U.S.C. § 12134(a), on July 26, 1991, the Department of Justice and Office of Attorney General promulgated federal regulations to implement the requirements of the ADA. 28 C.F.R. Part 36.

22. Public accommodations were required to conform to these regulations by January 26, 1992 (or by January 26, 1993, if a defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.*; 28 C.F.R. § 36.508(a).

23. Liability for violations under Title III or the ADA falls on “any person who owns, leases (or leases to), or operates a place of public accommodation.” 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

24. The Facility must be, but is not, in compliance with the ADA and ADAAG.

25. The Property must be, but is not, in compliance with the ADA and ADAAG.

26. Plaintiff has attempted to, and has to the extent possible, accessed the Facility and the Property in his capacity as a customer of the Facility and Property, and as an advocate for the disabled, but could not fully do so because of his disabilities resulting from the physical barriers to access, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at the Facility and Property that preclude and/or limit his

access to the Facility and Property and/or the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein, including those barriers, conditions and ADA violations more specifically set forth in this Complaint.

27. Plaintiff intends to visit the Facility and Property again in the future as a customer and as an advocate for the disabled in order to utilize all of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations commonly offered at the Facility and Property, but will be unable to fully do so because of his disability and the physical barriers to access, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at the Facility and Property that preclude and/or limit his access to the Facility and Property and/or the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein, including those barriers, conditions and ADA violations more specifically set forth in this Complaint.

28. Defendant has discriminated against Plaintiff (and others with disabilities) by denying his access to, and full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of the Facility and Property, as prohibited by, and by failing to remove architectural barriers as required by, 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).

29. Defendant will continue to discriminate against Plaintiff and others with disabilities unless and until Defendant is compelled to remove all

physical barriers that exist at the Facility and Property, including those specifically set forth herein, and make the Facility and Property accessible to and usable by Plaintiff and other persons with disabilities.

30. A specific list of unlawful physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations which Plaintiff experienced and/or observed that precluded and/or limited Plaintiff's access to the Facility and Property and the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and accommodations of the Facility and Property include, but are not limited to:

(a) EXTERIOR ELEMENTS:

- (i) The accessible parking space on the Property most proximate to Suite 2090 A of the Facility does not have identification signage that complies with section 502.6 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
- (ii) The accessible parking space on the Property and its adjacent access aisle most proximate to Suite 2090 A of the Facility are not adequately marked, in violation of sections 502.1 and 502.3 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
- (iii) There is an excessive vertical rise at the base of the ramp on the Property most proximate to Suite 2090 A of the Facility, in

violation of section 405.7 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.

- (iv) There is broken pavement and extreme vertical rises at the base of the accessible ramp on the Property most proximate to the Exxon portion of the Facility, in violation of section 405.7 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
- (v) The accessible parking space on the Property most proximate to the Exxon Food Mart portion of the Facility is not located on the shortest accessible route from the accessible parking space(s) to the accessible entrances of the Facility, in violation of section 208.3.1 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
- (vi) The accessible parking space on the Property most proximate to the Exxon Food Mart portion of the Facility does not have identification signage that complies with section 502.6 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
- (vii) The Property has a ramp leading from the accessible parking spaces to the accessible entrances of the Exxon Food Mart portion of the Facility that has a slope exceeding 1:12 (one to twelve), in violation of section 405.2 of the 2010 ADAAG standards. The side flares of this ramp also have slopes in

excess of 1:10 (one to ten), in violation of section 406.3 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.

(b) INTERIOR ELEMENTS:

- (i) The Exxon Food Mart Facility lacks restrooms signage that complies with sections 216.8 and 703 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
- (ii) The accessible entrance to the restroom area inside the Exxon Food Mart portion of the Facility have improper door hardware that requires tight grasping and/or twisting of the wrist, in violation of section 309.4 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
- (iii) The hardware on the restroom doors in the Exxon Food Mart portion of the Facility also have operable parts that require tight grasping, pinching or twisting of the wrist, in violation of section 309.4 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
- (iv) The toilet paper dispensers in the restrooms in the Exxon Food Mart portion of the Facility are positioned outside the permissible reach ranges set forth in section 604.7 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
- (v) The grab bars adjacent to the commodes in the restrooms in the

Exxon Food Mart portion of the Facility are not adequately sized and are improperly installed, in violation of section 604.5 of the 2010 ADAAG standards. Specifically, both grab bars are too short.

- (vi) The lavatories and/or sinks in the restrooms in the Exxon Food Mart portion of the Facility have exposed pipes and surfaces that are not insulated or configured to protect against contact with the skin, in violation of section 606.5 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.

(c) POLICY ELEMENTS

- (i) The Facility and Property have not been adequately maintained in operable working condition for those features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities, in violation of section 28 C.F.R. § 36.211.
- (ii) Upon information and good faith belief, Defendant fails to adhere to a policy, practice and procedure to ensure that all features and facilities at the Facility and Property are readily accessible to, and usable by, disabled individuals.

31. Without limitation, the above-described violations of the ADAAG made it more difficult and dangerous for Plaintiff to traverse the ramps servicing the property, more difficult for Plaintiff to travel upon on the accessible routes while inside the Facility, and more difficult for Plaintiff to utilize all features of the restroom in the Exxon Food Mart portion of the Facility.

32. The violations enumerated above may not be a complete list of the barriers, conditions or violations encountered by Plaintiff and/or which exist at the Facility and Property.

33. Plaintiff requires an inspection of Facility and Property in order to determine all of the discriminatory conditions present at the Facility and Property in violation of the ADA.

34. The removal of the physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations alleged herein is readily achievable and can be accomplished and carried out without significant difficulty or expense. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv); 42 U.S.C. § 12181(9); 28 C.F.R. § 36.304.

35. All of the violations alleged herein are readily achievable to modify to bring the Facility and Property into compliance with the ADA.

36. Upon information and good faith belief, the removal of the physical barriers and dangerous conditions present at the Facility and Property is readily

achievable because the nature and cost of the modifications are relatively low.

37. Upon information and good faith belief, the removal of the physical barriers and dangerous conditions present at the Facility and Property is readily achievable because Defendant has the financial resources to make the necessary modifications.

38. In instances where the 2010 ADAAG standards do not apply, the 1991 ADAAG standards apply, and all of the alleged violations set forth herein can be modified to comply with the 1991 ADAAG standards.

39. Plaintiff is without adequate remedy at law, is suffering irreparable harm, and reasonably anticipates that he will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless and until Defendant is required to remove the physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at the Facility and Property, including those alleged herein.

40. Plaintiff's requested relief serves the public interest.

41. The benefit to Plaintiff and the public of the relief outweighs any resulting detriment to Defendant.

42. Plaintiff's counsel is entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs of litigation from Defendant pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 12188 and 12205.

43. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a), this Court is provided authority to

grant injunctive relief to Plaintiff, including the issuance of an Order directing Defendant to modify the Facility and Property to the extent required by the ADA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays as follows:

- (a) That the Court find Defendant in violation of the ADA and ADAAG;
- (b) That the Court issue a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant from continuing its discriminatory practices;
- (c) That the Court issue an Order requiring Defendant to (i) remove the physical barriers to access and (ii) alter the subject Facility and Property to make them readily accessible to, and useable by, individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA;
- (d) That the Court award Plaintiff's counsel reasonable attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs; and
- (e) That the Court grant such further relief as deemed just and equitable in light of the circumstances.

Dated: August 18, 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Craig J. Ehrlich

Craig J. Ehrlich

Georgia Bar No. 242240

The Law Office of Craig J. Ehrlich, LLC

1123 Zonolite Road, N.E., Suite 7-B

Atlanta, Georgia 30306

Tel: (404) 365-4460
Fax: (855) 415-2480
craig@ehrllichlawoffice.com

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL RULE 5.1

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing document has been prepared in accordance with the font type and margin requirements of Local Rule 5.1 of the Northern District of Georgia, using a font type of Times New Roman and a point size of 14.

/s/Craig J. Ehrlich
Craig J. Ehrlich